VZCZCXRO0676
PP RUEHBC RUEHDE RUEHDH RUEHKUK RUEHROV
DE RUEHTRO #0658/01 2241444
ZNY CCCC ZZH
P 121444Z AUG 09
FM AMEMBASSY TRIPOLI
TO RUEHC/SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 5153
INFO RUEHRO/AMEMBASSY ROME PRIORITY 0557
RUEHTV/AMEMBASSY VALLETTA PRIORITY 0433
RUEHTRO/AMEMBASSY TRIPOLI 5694
RHEHAAA/NSC WASHINGTON DC
RUEHEE/ARAB LEAGUE COLLECTIVE
RUCPDOC/DEPT OF COMMERCE WASHINGTON DC
RUEATRS/DEPT OF TREASURY WASHINGTON DC

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 01 OF 02 TRIPOLI 000658

CIDDIC

STATE FOR NEA/MAG; STATE PLEASE PASS USTR; LONDON AND PARIS FOR NEA WATCHERS; COMMERCE FOR NATE MASON; ENERGY FOR GINA ERICKSON

E.O. 12958: DECL: 8/12/2019 TAGS: <u>ECON</u> <u>EFIN</u> <u>PGOV</u> <u>EPET</u> <u>LY</u>

- 1.(C) Summary: A Libyan Government attempt to enforce a 2007 decree specifying requirements for shop signs and advertisements has stirred confu WHERE DID ALL THE SHOP SIGNS GO?
- 2.(SBU) Several weeks ago, signs on shops in Tripoli suddenly began to disappear, with the fashionable shopping area along Gagaresh Street part SIGNS BEING REMOVED DUE TO 2007 DECREE
- 13. (C) The sign removal campaign appears to be the result of a recent GOL attempt to enforce a 2007 decree (Number 504) outlining requirements BUSINESS OWNERS SCRATCH THEIR HEADS...
- 4.(C) A variety of businesses have been caught up in the frenzy to abide by the sign decree. The owner of an xxxxxxxxxxx business told Econoff
- 5.(C) Emboffs have observed no discernible consistency to the application of the decree. Along a primary business avenue, some signs remain, as
- 6.(C) Even private offices have been affected by the sign removal campaign: a xxxxxxxxxxx company had displayed a sign on a main road for thei ...WHILE BILLBOARDS ABOUND
- 7.(C) In contrast to the preponderance of nameless stores in Tripoli, the 2007 decree does not seem to apply to the removal of billboards, as  $\pi$
- 8.(C) Comment: While the practical effects of the sign removals on Tripoli businesses may be somewhat limited, the haphazard implementation of